

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/612,975 07/07/2003		Michael Redecker	61610066AA	6522	
58027	7590	04/28/2006		EXAMINER	
H.C. PARK	& ASSO	OCIATES, PLC	QUARTERMAN, KEVIN J		
8500 LEESB SUITE 7500		Œ		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
VIENNA, V		2		2879	

DATE MAILED: 04/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				'}'
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/612,975	REDECKER, MICHAEL	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Kevin Quarterman	2879	
Pθ	The MAILING DATE of this communication app eriod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communic (D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Sí	tatus			
	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 For</u> 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	ebruary 2006. action is non-final.		
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		nsecution as to the meri	t e ie
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		13 13
Di	isposition of Claims			
	4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
A	pplication Papers	·		
	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 February 2006 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	• •
Pı	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	;
٩t	tachment(s)			
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/612,975 Page 2

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment and remarks received 13 February 2006 have been entered and overcome the rejections under 35 USC § 112, 2nd paragraph.

Drawings

2. The replacement-drawings were received on 13 February 2006. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Leising (US 6,117,529).
- 5. Regarding independent claim 1, Figure 3 of Leising shows a display comprising a substrate (1) with a plurality of sub-pixels arranged on at least a first side of the substrate, wherein a sub-pixel comprises a first electrode (2) having a first polarity; a second electrode (6) having a second polarity; and an emitter layer (3), wherein the emitter layer is interposed between the first electrode and the second electrode; an excitation light source (col. 11, ln. 46-49) for projecting light to the emitter layer; a photoluminescence light emitted from the emitter layer; and an electrical field formed

Application/Control Number: 10/612,975

Art Unit: 2879

between the first electrode and the second electrode which controllably quenches the photoluminescence light from the emitter layer (col. 13, ln. 22-54).

- 6. Regarding claim 2, Leising discloses the substrate formed of a transparent material (col. 9, ln. 42-44), the first electrode adjacent to the first side of the substrate, the first electrode formed of a transparent material (col. 11, ln. 36-40), and the second electrode formed of a light-reflecting material (col. 11, ln. 12-20).
- 7. Regarding claim 3, the first electrode adjacent to the first side of the substrate, the first electrode formed of a light-reflecting material (col. 11, ln. 12-20), and the second electrode formed of a transparent material (col. 11, ln. 36-40).
- 8. Regarding claim 4, Leising discloses the first electrode and the second electrode formed of a transparent material (col. 11, In. 36-40).
- 9. Regarding claim 5, Figure 3 of Leising shows a dielectric mirror (10) arranged on the sub-pixels and the first electrode and the second electrode formed of a transparent material (col. 11, In. 36-40).
- 10. Regarding claim 6, Figure 3 of Leising shows a dielectric mirror (10) arranged on the sub-pixels and the first electrode and the second electrode formed of a transparent material (col. 11, ln. 36-40).
- 11. Regarding claim 7, the Examiner notes that expressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof during an intended operation are of no significance in determining the patentability of the apparatus claim (MPEP § 2115). Thus, the properties of the operation of the photoluminescence quenching device have not been given patentable weight.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,975

Art Unit: 2879

12. Regarding claim 8, Leising discloses the emitter layer formed of at least one of a low molecular organic material, and a light-emitting polymer, and wherein the light-emitting polymer is one of polyphenylene vinylene and polyfluorene (col. 8, In. 64-66).

Page 4

- 13. Regarding claim 9, Figure 4 of Leising shows a hole transport layer (12) interposed between the first electrode and the emitter layer, the hole transport layer formed of at least one of polyethylene dioxy thiophene, polystyrene sulfone acid, and polyaniline (col. 13, ln. 4-20).
- 14. Regarding claim 10, Leising discloses the excitation light source being a lamp (col. 13, In. 48-53).
- 15. Regarding claim 11, Leising discloses the excitation light source being a light-emitting diode (col. 11, ln. 45-48).
- 16. Regarding claim 12, Leising discloses the excitation light source located outside of the display (col. 11, ln. 45-48).
- 17. Regarding claim 13, Figure 3 of Leising shows an optical unit (10) which can adjust the light emitted from the emitter layer.
- 18. Regarding claim 14, Figure 1 of Leising shows a screen on which an image is formed with the light emitted from the emitter layer.
- 19. Regarding claim 15, Leising discloses the dielectric mirror having a bandwidth narrower than a wavelength of the light emitted from the emitter layer (col. 14, In. 56-62).

Application/Control Number: 10/612,975 Page 5

Art Unit: 2879

20. Regarding claim 16, Leising discloses the dielectric mirror having a bandwidth narrower than a wavelength of the light emitted from the emitter layer (col. 14, ln. 56-62).

- 21. Regarding claim 17, Leising discloses the dielectric mirror including a plurality of refraction layer having different refractive indices (col. 14, In. 56-59).
- 22. Regarding claim 18, Leising discloses the dielectric mirror including a plurality of refraction layer having different refractive indices (col. 14, ln. 56-59).
- 23. Regarding claim 19, Leising discloses a low-refractive index refraction layer of the plurality of refraction layer formed of at least one of silicon dioxide, silicon nitride, and magnesium fluoride, and a high-refractive index refraction layer of the plurality of refraction layers formed of at least one of titanium dioxide, tin oxide, zirconium oxide, and tantalic oxide (col. 14, ln. 56-59).

Response to Arguments

- 24. Applicant's arguments filed 13 February 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 25. In response to applicant's argument that Leising does not teach an electric field formed between the first and second electrode which controllably quenches the photoluminescence light from the emitter layer, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant cited column 11, lines 54-61 of Leising noting that transport layers 12 and 13 are provided to controllably reduce the quenching of the photoluminescence light from the emitter layer. The Examiner notes that Leising also discloses an electric field contributing to the quenching of the photoluminescence light from the emitter layer (col.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,975 Page 6

Art Unit: 2879

13, In. 40-45). Thus, the Examiner holds that Leising teaches the claimed limitations of independent claim, as discussed earlier.

Conclusion

- 26. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 27. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 7

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Quarterman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2461. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH (7-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin Quarterman Examiner Art Unit 2879

24 April 2006

Joseph Williams Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879